Board Monthly Meeting
Assessments

- Provided Following Board Meetings
- Electronic Format
- Participation
- Follow-Up Discussions
- Evaluation (Accreditation Recs 3 and 5)
- Performance Outcomes
ASSESSMENT PARTICIPATION
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SUMMARY

AGREE/MAJORITY AGREE

- Agenda Distributed/Posting Timely
  -- some prefer hard copy agenda versus electronic

- Closed Session Items Explained Orally/Written

- Agenda Items Explained/Supported (HR, Business Services, Capital Planning, Student Learning, Chancellor’s Office)
  -- in some cases, need information earlier/in more detail

- Board Committees
  -- appreciate Policy Committee work
  -- some policies may need more review

- Questions/Concerns Addressed Prior to Board Meetings
  -- at times, need more information
SUMMARY

AGREE/MAJORITY AGREE

- Board Courteous to Colleagues/Others
  -- incidents of being discourteous to colleagues

- Focused on Agenda Business
  -- handled some difficult situations well
  -- making progress in this area
  -- sometimes jump from topic to topic

- Meetings Led in Timely, Organized Manner
  -- need more community input
  -- good discussion, meetings long but well run
  -- fine line in weighing area interests v. all interests

- Board Follows Parliamentary Procedure
  -- allow Trustees to finish questions prior to moving onto discussion (following motion)
PARTIAL AGREEMENT

- Board conduct in accordance with Board Code of Ethics
  -- need study sessions prior to meetings for difficult business
  -- outside groups’ interests
  -- dominating Board discussion

- Managed Public Comments in Lawful, Respectful Manner
  -- chair does good job despite difficult situations/venue
  -- good to let speakers speak
  -- caution in responding to public speakers
  -- going beyond time limit, personal attacks
SUMMARY

How Strengthen Board Effectiveness

- Board Making Progress in Understanding Its Role
- Board Sticking to Agenda and Policymaking Role
- Meetings Too Long
- Need More Community Input
- Need More Detailed Reports
- Brief Backgrounds Would Be Helpful
- Need More Time to Review Documents
- Prefer Paper Agenda
- At times, BoardDocs Technology Issues
- Use BoardDocs Exclusively
- Need a Larger Venue
- Need More Data When Dealing With Reductions
- Need to Work Together
IMPROVEMENTS BASED ON INPUT

- Assessment Instrument Revised (deleted, split, combined questions, added “N/A” and “Do Not Know”)

- Moved Student Reports On Agenda

- Policy Review Provided Separate Section on Agenda
POINTS FOR DISCUSSION

• Maintaining Full Participation in Assessments
• Providing Comment When Responding “No” or “Disagree” to Aid Improvement
• Public Speakers
• Incorporate Monthly Assessment Outcomes into Board Annual Evaluation in Meeting Board Performance Goals
POINTS FOR DISCUSSION

- Strengthening Board Effectiveness
  -- Meetings Too Long
  -- Need More Community Input
  -- Need More Detailed Reports
  -- Brief Backgrounds Would Be Helpful
  -- Need More Time to Review Documents
  -- Prefer Paper Agenda
  -- At times, BoardDocs Technology Issues
  -- Use BoardDocs Exclusively
  -- Need a Larger Venue
  -- Need More Data When Dealing With Reductions
  -- Need to Work Together