February 1, 2012

Dr. Richard Duran
President
Oxnard College
4000 South Rose Avenue
Oxnard, CA 93033

Dear President Duran:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting January 10-12, 2012, reviewed the Follow-Up Report submitted by Oxnard College and the report of the evaluation team which visited Monday, October 31-Tuesday, November 1, 2011. The purpose of this review was to assure that the recommendations made by the evaluation team were addressed by the institution.

The Commission acted to place Oxnard College on Probation and to require the College to submit a Special Report by March 15, 2012, and a Follow-Up Report by October 15, 2012. Both of the reports will be followed by a visit of Commission representatives.

Probation is imposed when the Commission finds that an institution deviates significantly from the Commission’s Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, or Commission policies or fails to respond to conditions imposed upon it by the Commission. The accredited status of the institution continues during the probation period. Probation is being issued for deficiencies identified in the team report and associated with District Recommendations 1-7.

The Special Report of March 15, 2012 should demonstrate the institution’s resolution of the deficiencies associated with the Commission Concern noted below:

1 Institutions that will be preparing and submitting Midterm Reports, Follow-Up Reports, and Special Reports to the Commission will want to review the new publication Guidelines for the Preparation of Reports to the Commission. This publication contains the background, requirements, and format for each type of report and presents sample cover pages and certification pages. It is available on the ACCJC website under College Reports to ACCJC at: (www.accjc.org/college-reports-accjc).
Commission Concern: The team report confirmed that board development activities had been provided and all board members were encouraged to attend. At the same time, the team expressed concern about the consistency and long-term sustainability of the Board’s demonstration of its primary leadership role and reiterates its recommendation for evidence of ongoing professional development for all Board members. Specifically, the Commission notes a particular board member’s disruptive and inappropriate behavior and the entire board’s responsibility to address and curtail it. (Eligibility Requirement 3; Standard IV.B.1.g, h. i)

The Follow-Up Report of October 15, 2012 should demonstrate the institution’s resolution of the deficiencies associated with the recommendations noted below:

District Recommendation: 1
In order to meet the Standards, the District, in concert with the three colleges, shall develop clearly defined organizational maps that delineate the primary and secondary responsibilities of each, the college-to-college responsibilities, and that also incorporate the relationship of major District and college committees established to assure the integrity of activities related to such areas as budget, research, planning, and curriculum. (IV.B.3.a-b, IV.B.3.g)

District Recommendation: 2
In order to meet the Standard, the District, in concert with the three colleges, shall document evidence that a review of District Policies and Procedures that may impede the timely and effective operations of the departments of the colleges has taken place and that appropriate modifications are made that facilitate the operational effectiveness of the colleges. A calendar that identifies a timeline for the regular and consistent review of policies shall be developed. (IV.B.1.e)

District Recommendation: 3
In order to increase effectiveness, the Teams recommend that the District conduct a periodic outcomes assessment and analysis of its strategic planning and decision-making processes, leading to sustainable continuous quality improvement in educational effectiveness in support of student learning and district-wide operations. (IV.B.3)

District Recommendation: 4
In order to improve communications, the Teams recommend that the District assess the effectiveness of its formal communications and utilize constituency and community input/feedback data to implement improvements to ensure that open and timely communication regarding expectations of educational excellence, operational planning, and integrity continues and is enhanced at all levels of the organization. (III.A.3, IV.B.3)
District Recommendation: 5
In order to meet the Standard, the Board of Trustees shall complete an analysis of its self-assessment pursuant to Board Policy 2745 and formally adopt expected outcomes and measures for continuous quality improvement that will be assessed and reported as a component of the immediately succeeding self-assessment. (IV.B.1.g)

District Recommendation: 6
In order to meet the Standards, the Board of Trustees shall establish clearly written policies and corresponding procedures to ensure that decision-making is administered by staff in an equitable and consistent manner across and within the three colleges. (III.A.3.a, III.A.4.c, IV.B.1.b-c)

District Recommendation: 7
In order to meet the Standards, the Board of Trustees shall assess its actions in relation to its policy making role and implement a program for ongoing Board member professional development to enhance and improve the demonstration of its primary leadership role in assuring the quality, integrity, and effectiveness of the student learning programs and services delivered by the District colleges. (IV.A.3, VI.B.1. e-g)

I wish to inform you that under U.S. Department of Education regulations, institutions out of compliance with Standards or on sanction are expected to correct deficiencies within a two-year period or the Commission must take action to terminate accreditation. Oxnard College should resolve the deficiencies noted by October 2012 or the Commission will be compelled to act.

I have previously sent you a copy of the Evaluation Team Report. Additional copies may now be duplicated. The Commission requires that you give the report and this letter appropriate dissemination to your College staff and to those who were signatories of your report. This group should include the Chancellor, campus leadership, and the Board of Trustees.

The Commission also requires that the College make all reports and action letters available to students and the public by placing them on the institution’s website. The Follow-Up Report will become part of the accreditation history of the College and should be used in preparing for the next comprehensive evaluation. Should you want an electronic copy of the report, please contact Commission staff.

Finally, ACCJC staff is available to assist the College with consultation and advice on the recommendations identified above.
Commission Reminder: The Commission expects that institutions meet Standards that require the identification and assessment of student learning outcomes, and the use of assessment data to plan and implement improvements to educational quality, by fall 2012. The Commission reminds Oxnard College that it must be prepared to demonstrate that it meets these Standards by fall 2012 (Standards I.B.1, II.A.2.e, II.A.2.f, II.B.4, II.C.2, and III.A.1.c).

On behalf of the Commission, I wish to express my continuing interest in the institution’s educational quality and students’ success. Professional self-regulation is the most effective means of assuring institutional integrity, effectiveness, and quality.

Sincerely,

Barbara A. Beno, Ph.D.
President

BAB/tl

cc: Dr. James Meznek, Chancellor, Ventura County Community College District
    Dr. Erika Endrijonas, Accreditation Liaison Officer
    Board President, Ventura County Community College District
    Dr. Jack Daniels III, Team Chair
    Ms. Martina Fernandez-Rosario, U.S.D.E.