

## Assessment of 6.26.12 and 8.9.12 Board Strategic Planning Sessions



### 1. I attended the June 26 and August 9, 2012 Board of Trustees Strategic Planning Sessions.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Stephen P. Blum, Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	1
Arturo Hernandez, Vice Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	1
Dianne B. McKay, Trustee	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	1
Larry O. Miller, Trustee	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	1
Bernardo M. Perez, Trustee	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	1
A.J. Valenzuela, Student Trustee	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	1
<b>answered question</b>			<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>

### 2. I had an opportunity to provide feedback or input on the Board's 2013 Meeting Calendar, Board's 2013 Committee Meeting Calendar, and the Board's Professional Development 2012/13 Calendar.

		Response Percent	Response Count
N/A		0.0%	0
Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>	100.0%	6
Disagree		0.0%	0
Don't Know		0.0%	0
<b>Comments and/or recommendations for improvement, if any.</b>			<b>0</b>
<b>answered question</b>			<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>

**3. I found the review and discussion of findings from the Board's Summary of Monthly Meeting Assessments, Board Annual Self-Evaluation, and Consultation Council Evaluation of the Board beneficial to me in the performance of my responsibilities as a Trustee and that it will contribute to the strengthened performance of the full Board.**

	Response Percent	Response Count
N/A	0.0%	0
<b>Agree</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6</b>
Disagree	0.0%	0
Don't Know	0.0%	0

Comments. If "Disagree," please provide feedback on specific area(s) of disagreement to assist with improvement. 3

<b>answered question</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>


**4. I participated in the review and assessment of the Board's "2011-12" Performance Goals.**

	Response Percent	Response Count
N/A	0.0%	0
<b>Agree</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6</b>
Disagree	0.0%	0
Don't Know	0.0%	0


Comments and recommendations for improvement, if any. 1

<b>answered question</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

**5. The Board's "2012-13" Board Performance Goals will contribute to the strengthened performance of the full Board.**



		Response Percent	Response Count
N/A		0.0%	0
Agree		100.0%	6
Disagree		0.0%	0
Don't Know		0.0%	0
Comments. If "Disagree," please provide specific feedback in the area(s) of disagreement for improvement.			2
<b>answered question</b>			<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>

**6. The District Council on Accreditation and Planning (DCAP) Update on District Responses to Accreditation Recommendations 1 through 7 and Institutional Effectiveness Report was beneficial to me in understanding the accreditation process/planning process and assisted in keeping me informed about the District's progress on accreditation recommendations.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
N/A		0.0%	0
Agree		100.0%	6
Disagree		0.0%	0
Don't Know		0.0%	0
Comments. If "Disagree," please provide feedback on specific areas of disagreement to assist with improvement.			2
<b>answered question</b>			<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>



**7. The Citizens Advisory Body Survey results provided community input that was useful to the Board relative to strategic planning.**


		<b>Response Percent</b>	<b>Response Count</b>
N/A		0.0%	0
<b>Agree</b>		<b>83.3%</b>	<b>5</b>
Disagree		16.7%	1
Don't Know		0.0%	0
Comments. If "Disagree," please provide specific feedback on area(s) of disagreement to assist with improvement.			3
<b>answered question</b>			<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>



**8. Participation in the "Integrated Planning" professional development activity and planning assessment process was useful and productive (i.e., reviewing key elements of an integrated strategic plan; explanation of the planning cycle; understanding activities of responsible parties; discussion of the Accrediting Commission's expectations in integrated planning; and reviewing the proposal for transitioning to a revised District planning model as part of process improvement).**

		Response Percent	Response Count
	N/A	0.0%	0
	Agree	100.0%	6
	Disagree	0.0%	0
	Do Not know	0.0%	0
Comments. If "Disagree," please provide specific feedback on the area(s) of disagreement to assist with improvement.			2
<b>answered question</b>			<b>6</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>


**9. Workgroups facilitated by Academic Senate Presidents to conduct a gap analysis of the District's current planning process and to conduct a self-assessment based on the levels described in the ACCJC Rubric for Integrated Planning was a productive activity and contributed to my knowledge of the accreditation process.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
N/A		0.0%	0
Agree		100.0%	6
Disagree		0.0%	0
Don't Know		0.0%	0

Comments. If "Disagree," please provide specific feedback on area(s) of disagreement to assist with improvement. 3

answered question	6
skipped question	0



**10. The Board Goals and Objectives Implementation Report was informative and useful to the Board relative to strategic planning.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
N/A		0.0%	0
Agree		100.0%	6
Disagree		0.0%	0
Don't Know		0.0%	0


Comments and recommendations for improvement, if any. 1

answered question	6
skipped question	0

**11. Assessment and discussion of 2011-12 Board Goals and Objectives Outcomes resulted in successfully modifying Board Goals and Objectives for 2012-13.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
N/A		0.0%	0
Agree		83.3%	5
Disagree		16.7%	1
Don't Know		0.0%	0
Comments and recommendations for improvement, if any.			2
answered question			6
skipped question			0

**12. Workgroup discussions facilitated by Board members regarding future District direction was a useful and productive activity.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
N/A		0.0%	0
Agree		100.0%	6
Disagree		0.0%	0
Don't Know		0.0%	0
Comments and recommendations for improvement, if any.			2
answered question			6
skipped question			0



**13. Please comment on how your participation during the Board's June 26 and August 9, 2012 Strategic Planning Sessions contributed to improving your role as Trustee?**

**Response  
Count**

6

**answered question**

**6**

**skipped question**

**0**

**14. Any additional comments regarding the Board's June 26 and August 9, 2012 Strategic Planning Sessions?**

**Response  
Count**

2

**answered question**

**2**

**skipped question**

**4**

**Q3. I found the review and discussion of findings from the Board's Summary of Monthly Meeting Assessments, Board Annual Self-Evaluation, and Consultation Council Evaluation of the Board beneficial to me in the performance of my responsibilities as a Trustee and that it will contribute to the strength...**

- 1 The discussions were helpful. The evaluations on their own would not be as instructive or valuable.
- 2 I find them key in areas in which I need to concentrate my abilities to be a benefit to the Board.
- 3 Reviewing and evaluating on a monthly basis has been beneficial.

**Q4. I participated in the review and assessment of the Board's "2011-12" Performance Goals.**

- 1 We also had ample opportunity to discuss the goals at our planning meeting.

**Q5. The Board's "2012-13" Board Performance Goals will contribute to the strengthened performance of the full Board.**

- 1 It is important to clarify our goals and expectations of ourselves.
- 2 Always looking for improved performance is a good thing.

**Q6. The District Council on Accreditation and Planning (DCAP) Update on District Responses to Accreditation Recommendations 1 through 7 and Institutional Effectiveness Report was beneficial to me in understanding the accreditation process/planning process and assisted in keeping me informed about the...**

- 1 DCAP has been extremely important in the progress and continuous improvement toward the Accreditation recommendations. The updates provided have been very beneficial to track this progress and understand the process.
- 2 This was an excellent review of what has been accomplished. It refreshed my outlook on where we are.

**Q7. The Citizens Advisory Body Survey results provided community input that was useful to the Board relative to strategic planning.**

- 1 The survey was helpful however as we have planned, this group needs to meet more often to provide more regular feedback.
- 2 The responses were few, would have liked more participation from advisory body, perhaps next time.
- 3 It is good to get input from respected members of the community.

**Q8. Participation in the "Integrated Planning" professional development activity and planning assessment process was useful and productive (i.e., reviewing key elements of an integrated strategic plan; explanation of the planning cycle; understanding activities of responsible parties; discu...**

- 1 I found this particular professional development activity very helpful in understanding the expectations of the planning cycle and preparing for a new integrated strategic plan.
- 2 Reviewing is a good reminder of what we have done and where we need to go.

**Q9. Workgroups facilitated by Academic Senate Presidents to conduct a gap analysis of the District's current planning process and to conduct a self-assessment based on the levels described in the ACCJC Rubric for Integrated Planning was a productive activity and contributed to my knowledge of the acc...**

- 1 These active, shared exercises help drive the creative energy of the team.
- 2 The Academic Senate Presidents work hard to conduct useful and informative development activities and contribute to my knowledge of the accreditation and other academic processes.
- 3 This was very good insight into the process.

**Q10. The Board Goals and Objectives Implementation Report was informative and useful to the Board relative to strategic planning.**

- 1 It was very helpful to have this information evaluated objectively.



**Q11. Assessment and discussion of 2011-12 Board Goals and Objectives Outcomes resulted in successfully modifying Board Goals and Objectives for 2012-13.**

- 1 The assessment was helpful and important, but I don't think that it resulted in modification as much as clarification and evaluation of our goals and objectives.
- 2 I am pleased with the new version of goals and objectives. I am pleased with what has been accomplished"

**Q12. Workgroup discussions facilitated by Board members regarding future District direction was a useful and productive activity.**

- 1 This was helpful but I think we need more time to evaluate the information and form cohesive board direction for future policy derived from this input. How this information is captured and used will be important in future planning and policy.
- 2 This was a great chance to brainstorm and find out what others think needs to be done.

**Q13. Please comment on how your participation during the Board's June 26 and August 9, 2012 Strategic Planning Sessions contributed to improving your role as Trustee?**

- 1 I gained a keener insight into certain areas of District and Board governance.
- 2 It helped me by hearing from non-board members concerning district matters.
- 3 It helps me to hear the input of other trustees and staff on our progress and future direction. While we don't always agree, the discussions are valuable and informative and will help us connect budget to planning and policy.
- 4 Good session
- 5 The Strategic Planning sessions gave me a chance to understand how the district will play out in the next 5 years and the importance of Community Colleges in the local economy.
- 6 It helped focus me on my proper role as a board member. It also helped me focus on the mission of our colleges.

**Q14. Any additional comments regarding the Board's June 26 and August 9, 2012 Strategic Planning Sessions?**

- 1 None
- 2 They were very good in spite of switching chancellors in the process. I liked the

**Q14. Any additional comments regarding the Board's June 26 and August 9, 2012 Strategic Planning Sessions?**

time between meetings to think and reflect.